- 1	\mathbf{I}
1	JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq., SBN 69888
2	BENJAMIN NISENBAUM, Esq., SBN 222173 THE LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
3	Airport Corporate Center
	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120
4	Oakland, California 94621 Telephone: (510) 839-5200
5	Facsimile: (510) 839-3882
6	John.Burris@johnburrislaw.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	LEILANI JIMENEZ, individually, and as Case No.: C 13-04620 CRB
12	successor-in-interest for Decedent DENNIS IMENEZ: I.L. a minor, by and through his FURTHER STIPULATION AND
13	guardian ad litem Leilani Jimenez: D.J., a (PROPOSED) ORDER TO
	minor, by and through her guardian ad litem (CONTINUE DATE FOR HEARING)
14	Leilani Jimenez, and DENNIS JIMENEZ, Jr.) DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR an individual, PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
15)
16	Plaintiffs,
۱7	v. }
18	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, a municipal
19	corporation and DOES 1-50, individually, inclusive;
	j
20	Defendants.)
21	
22	<u>STIPULATION</u>
23	WHEREAS, Defendants in the above-entitled action filed a Motion for
24	Partial Summary Judgment in this action that was originally noticed to be heard
25	by the Court on August 14, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Docket #35)
26	
27	
28	-1-
	Further Stipulation and Proposed Order Continuing Date for Hearing Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Jimenez v. County of Alameda; USDC-Nor. Dist. Case No. C-13-04620 CRB

WHEREAS, on August 3, 2015, the Court, pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, continued the hearing on the motion and to September 18, 2015. (Docket #45)

WHEREAS, on September 14, 2015, the Court, on its own motion, continued the hearing on the motion to September 25, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. (Docket #46 and 47)

WHEREAS, on stipulation of the parties, the hearing on the motion was again continued to October 9, 2015. (Docket #53)

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2015, the Court denied defendants' motion without prejudice in order to give plaintiffs' counsel an opportunity to take the depositions of two inmate witnesses, whose testimony counsel felt is necessary to permit him to oppose the defendants' motion. (Docket #54)

WHEREAS, on October 9, 2015, the Court set a new hearing date for defendants' motion for partial summary judgment of January 15, 2016. (Docket #57)

WHEREAS, the depositions of the two inmate witnesses were taken by plaintiffs' counsel on October 16 and November 12, 2015.

WHEREAS, unless a party requests that the preparation of a transcript of a deposition be expedited, it is common practice for court reporters prepare and provide the transcript of a deposition to the attorneys within two (2) weeks of the date of the deposition.

WHEREAS, to date, the court reporting firm contracted by the attorney for the plaintiffs to report the depositions, Barbara J. Butler & Associates, has not provided transcripts of either of the inmate witnesses to the parties.

WHEREAS, counsel for the defendants' assistant, and defense counsel himself, tried to reach Barbara J. Butler & Associates to ascertain the status of

the deposition transcripts on November 23, 25 and 30, 2015, but they reached only a voicemail system and did not receive a call back. It is presently unknown when the parties will receive the transcripts.

WHEREAS, before refiling the motion for partial summary judgment, counsel for the defendants wishes to have an opportunity to review both transcripts and determine which portions of them need to be incorporated into the motion and rewrite the motion accordingly. At present it appears unlikely that he will have sufficient time to have the motion ready in time to be filed by December 9, 2015, the deadline for filing the motion.

WHEREFORE, counsel hereby stipulate and request that the date for hearing defendants' motion for partial summary judgment be reset to February 19, 2016 at 10:00 a.m., or to such later date that is convenient to the Court's calendar.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: December 3, 2015

Dated: November 30, 2015

24 25

26

27

28

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

By: /s/ Ben Nisenbaum, Esq.

Ben Nisenbaum

Attorney for Plaintiffs

BOORNAZIAN, JENSEN & GARTHE

By: /s/ Gregory J. Rockwell, Esa.

Gregory J. Rockwell, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants

(Proposed)-ORDER PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, good cause shown, date for hearing the Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment is continued to February 19, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 12/4/2015 Honorable Charles R. Breyer UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE